

## Response to Issues Paper - Public Review of edu.au Domain's Governance Arrangements and Eligibility and Allocation Policies

<b>Name of organisation or person submitting this response</b>	Allan Sceats The Riverina Anglican College
<b>Date Submitted</b>	26 August 2014

#	Question	Response
1	Is eDAC the most appropriate mechanism to manage the policy and administration of the edu.au domain? Is it sufficiently representative of domain users? If not, why and what alternative mechanism should be considered?	It is sufficiently representative for all our domain users.
2	Are the functions that need to be addressed by the edu.au domain's policy and administration arrangements (as outlined in eDAC's Terms of Reference) appropriate? If not, why and what changes need to be made?	Very appropriate for our needs.
3	Are the current arrangements for an edu.au Registrar appropriate? If not, why and what changes need to be made?	We are more than happy with the current arrangements.
4	Is the current process for filling vacancies on eDAC appropriate? If not, why and what process could be considered?	I have no knowledge of the current process for filling vacancies on eDAC.
5	Are four year terms for eDAC members appropriate? If not, why and what alternative term should be considered?	This would appear to be appropriate.
6	Is the current approach to domain name pricing in the edu.au domain appropriate? If not, why and what changes need to be made?	I have no adverse comments from my Administration.
7	What types of entities should be eligible under the following eligibility types?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research organisation</li> </ul>	none
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National bodies</li> </ul>	none
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-profit associations</li> </ul>	Only Education
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Entities not otherwise listed</li> </ul>	none
8	Are there other types of entities that should be eligible for an edu.au domain name?	no edu.au should remain as intended for educational use for primary, secondary and tertiary only.

#	Question	Response
9	Are there other issues you would like to raise regarding the domain's eligibility types?	yes
10	Are the current eligibility rules appropriate for maintaining the integrity and sustainability of the edu.au domain?	yes
11	Should the edu.au domain eligibility rules be amended to allow for more, or less, types of entities to register edu.au domain names?	no
12	If so, what types of entities should be allowed to register edu.au domain name licences? How should their eligibility for an edu.au domain name licence be established?	none
13	Are there other issues you would like to raise in regards to the edu.au domain's rules for eligible entities?	no
14	Should the current rules relating to the registration of an edu.au domain name licence for a project or program be changed? If so, how?	no
15	What types of related services should an entity deliver for it to be considered eligible for an edu.au domain name?	none
16	Should the edu.au domain continue to allocate domain names on a 'first come, first served' basis? If not, what alternative allocation rule should apply?	yes
17	Should the rules controlling the level of edu.au domain name that different applicant types can register be changed? If so, how?	no
18	Should the rules requiring that there to be a direct link between the name of the applying organisation (or related project or program) and the proposed edu.au domain name be changed? If so, how?	no
19	Should the rules governing the types of words and terms that are restricted or that cannot be registered as an edu.au domain name be changed? If so, how?	no
20	Are there any other issues you wish to raise that you think the review should consider?	no